

Appendix: EMCP-restricted GF funding by country and method

Country / Multicounty (MC)	Total GF funding 2017 & 2018 in millions	Total GF funding EMCP-restricted in millions (%)	Amount of GF funding EMCP-restricted by Method 1* (%)^	Amount of GF funding EMCP-restricted by Method 2** (%)^
Afghanistan	\$ 45	\$ < 0.5 (0.4)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Armenia	\$ 10	\$ < 0.5 (3.9)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Bangladesh	\$ 98	\$ 55 (56.3)	\$ 49 (88.6)	\$ 6 (11.4)
Belarus	\$ 16	\$ 1 (4.2)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Belize	\$ 3	\$ < 0.5 (4.2)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Bolivia	\$ 21	\$ 1 (4.6)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Botswana	\$ 17	\$ 11 (61.7)	\$ 7 (64.5)	\$ 4 (35.5)
Burundi	\$ 71	\$ 2 (2.8)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Cambodia	\$ 96	\$ 2 (1.6)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Central African Republic	\$ 45	\$ 1 (2.8)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Côte d'Ivoire	\$ 127	\$ 23 (17.8)	\$ 18 (78.5)	\$ 5 (21.5)
DRC	\$ 481	\$ 3 (0.7)	\$ 3 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Dominican Republic	\$ 19	\$ 1 (4.2)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
El Salvador	\$ 19	\$ 1 (4.0)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Eswatini	\$ 42	\$ < 0.5 (0.5)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Ethiopia	\$ 316	\$ 5 (1.6)	\$ 5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Gambia	\$ 29	\$ < 0.5 (0.5)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Ghana	\$ 201	\$ 5 (2.7)	\$ 4 (70.9)	\$ 2 (29.1)
Haiti	\$ 73	\$ 10 (14.0)	\$ 10 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
India	\$ 595	\$ 24 (4.0)	\$ 11 (44.5)	\$ 13 (55.5)
Jamaica	\$ 11	\$ 1 (5.6)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Kazakhstan	\$ 18	\$ < 0.5 (0.9)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Kenya	\$ 257	\$ 34 (13.4)	\$ 17 (50.4)	\$ 17 (49.6)
Kosovo	\$ 4	\$ 4 (100.0)	\$ 2 (57.5)	\$ 2 (42.5)
Kyrgyzstan	\$ 29	\$ < 0.5 (0.2)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Laos	\$ 16	\$ < 0.5 (1.0)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Liberia	\$ 63	\$ < 0.5 (0.4)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Macedonia	\$ 1	\$ < 0.5 (5.3)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Malawi	\$ 359	\$ 41 (11.5)	\$ 41 (100)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Mali	\$ 89	\$ 2 (2.3)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Moldova	\$ 14	\$ 7 (50.8)	\$ 3 (45.9)	\$ 4 (54.1)
Mongolia	\$ 10	\$ < 0.5 (0.4)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Mozambique	\$ 224	\$ 23 (10.3)	\$ 21 (92.8)	\$ 2 (7.2)
MC Americas	\$ 31	\$ 11 (35.4)	\$ 11 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
MC Asia	\$ 4	\$ 4 (100.0)	\$ 3 (70.3)	\$ 1 (29.7)

*Method 1: GF funding allocated to partner that also receives U.S. GHA directly

**Method 2: GF funding allocated to a sub-recipient whose prime partner receives U.S. GHA

^Percentages are calculated out of the total GF funding that is EMCP-restricted

The Expanded Mexico City Policy: Implications for the Global Fund

Country / Multicountry (MC)	Total GF funding 2017 & 2018 in millions	Total GF funding EMCP-restricted in millions (%)	Amount of GF funding EMCP-restricted by Method 1* (%)^	Amount of GF funding EMCP-restricted by Method 2** (%)^
MC Caribbean	\$ 8	\$ 2 (24.5)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
MC East Asia & Pacific	\$ 111	\$ 4 (4.0)	\$ 4 (83.9)	\$ 1 (16.1)
MC Eastern Africa	\$ 14	\$ 3 (24.0)	\$ 2 (57.4)	\$ 1 (42.6)
MC Eastern Europe & Central Asia	\$ 15	\$ 5 (34.4)	\$ 4 (71.8)	\$ 1 (28.2)
MC South Asia	\$ 7	\$ 6 (98.0)	\$ 2 (33.0)	\$ 4 (67.0)
MC Southern Africa	\$ 70	\$ 16 (23.0)	\$ 16 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
MC Western Africa	\$ 20	\$ 7 (36.6)	\$ 7 (96.2)	\$ 0 (0.0)
MC Western Pacific	\$ 10	\$ < 0.5 (0.7)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Myanmar	\$ 242	\$ 64 (26.4)	\$ 25 (39.6)	\$ 39 (60.4)
Namibia	\$ 61	\$ 2 (3.1)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Nepal	\$ 43	\$ 28 (64.1)	\$ 17 (61.5)	\$ 11 (38.5)
Niger	\$ 108	\$ 45 (41.7)	\$ 40 (88.9)	\$ 5 (11.1)
Nigeria	\$ 724	\$ 198 (27.4)	\$ 165 (83.3)	\$ 33 (16.7)
Papua New Guinea	\$ 47	\$ 3 (6.7)	\$ 3 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Philippines	\$ 85	\$ 74 (87.2)	\$ 70 (94.1)	\$ 4 (5.9)
Romania	\$ 9	\$ < 0.5 (4.7)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Sao Tome & Principe	\$ 6	\$ < 0.5 (0.8)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Senegal	\$ 53	\$ 5 (8.9)	\$ 2 (52.0)	\$ 2 (48.0)
Sierra Leone	\$ 84	\$ < 0.5 (0.2)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Somalia	\$ 58	\$ 3 (5.2)	\$ 3 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
South Africa	\$ 228	\$ 89 (38.9)	\$ 67 (75.5)	\$ 22 (24.5)
South Sudan	\$ 102	\$ 2 (1.8)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Sudan	\$ 124	\$ < 0.5 (0.1)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Tanzania	\$ 455	\$ 94 (20.6)	\$ 87 (92.4)	\$ 7 (7.6)
Thailand	\$ 44	\$ < 0.5 (0.3)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Timor-Leste	\$ 15	\$ < 0.5 (0.4)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Togo	\$ 55	\$ 1 (2.0)	\$ 1 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Turkmenistan	\$ 4	\$ < 0.5 (2.3)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Uganda	\$ 243	\$ 23 (9.7)	\$ 20 (85.1)	\$ 3 (14.9)
Ukraine	\$ 93	\$ 73 (78.5)	\$ 60 (82.4)	\$ 13 (17.6)
Uzbekistan	\$ 37	\$ < 0.5 (0.2)	\$ < 0.5 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Viet Nam	\$ 80	\$ 2 (2.4)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)
Zambia	\$ 222	\$ 58 (26.2)	\$ 52 (90.4)	\$ 6 (9.6)
Zimbabwe	\$ 376	\$ 2 (0.4)	\$ 2 (100.0)	\$ 0 (0.0)

*Method 1: GF funding allocated to partner that also receives U.S. GHA directly

**Method 2: GF funding allocated to a sub-recipient whose prime partner receives U.S. GHA

^Percentages are calculated out of the total GF funding that is EMCP-restricted